

Dear FCC,

My wife and I have been loyal supporters of KLOVE radio for over five years.

We currently live in CT, and have for almost 2 years, where there is no current KLOVE signal and still we faithfully support KLOVE. This should speak volumes to how we feel about this programming and their use of FM translators.

I travel extensively for my job as a consultant for Public Transportation Agencies throughout the U.S. and scan the dial for my favorite listening, KLOVE, each time I get in a rental car. The radio airwaves are crammed with vile excuses for entertainment where lyrics are warbled to disguise their offensive content. It has taken years for the FCC to drive out one of the biggest offenders in Howard Stern. KLOVE consistently provides uplifting and edifying programming for all ages and can do so because of their extensive use of FM translators-which your current proposition regarding LPFM's is threatening. Please do not dry up this valuable national resource that survives on limited resources while aximizing its coverage by using FM translators. There is a tangible difference that can be felt in the communities I visit without KLOVE, over those that carry the signal. It should be unconscionable for any one to make a decision that would further handicap such a wonderful resource for the programming provided by KLOVE. The role of the FCC has always been to ensure that the quality of programming and variety of programming in each area benefits that community.

Eliminating the ability for KLOVE to fulfill the content niche that they provide only to be replaced by LPFM stations spewing the same content as other local and national carriers only hurts the public for whom the FCC should be protecting the interests. Thank you in advance for making the right decision and not giving LPFM priority over FM translator programming such as KLOVE.

Sincerely,

Jason and Melissa Ellis

S. Windsor, CT